IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 1 FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA 2 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, 3 vs. Criminal No. 18-292 4 ROBERT BOWERS, 5 Defendant. 6 7 Transcript of proceedings on May 23, 2019 United States District Court, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, before Judge 8 Donetta W. Ambrose. 9 APPEARANCES: 10 For the Government: U.S. Attorney's Office Soo Song, Esquire 11 Troy Rivetti, Esquire 12 U.S. Courthouse 700 Grant Street 13 Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15219 14 U.S. Attorney's Office Julia Gegenheimer, Esquire 15 601 D Street NW Washington, DC 20004 16 For the Defendant: Clarke Johnston Thorp & Rice, PC 17 Judy Clarke, Esquire 1010 Second Avenue Suite 1800 18 San Diego, California 92101 19 Federal Public Defender's Office Elisa A. Long, Esquire 20 Michael J. Novara, Esquire 21 1001 Liberty Avenue 1500 Liberty Center 22 Pittsburgh, PA 15219 23 Court Reporter: Barbara Metz Leo, RMR, CRR 700 Grant Street 24 Suite 6260 Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15219 25 Proceedings recorded by mechanical stenography; transcript produced by computer-aided transcription.

PROCEEDINGS

10:12 a.m.

(Defendant not present.)

THE COURT: Good morning, everybody. We are here in the case of the United States of America against Robert Bowers at number 18-292 and we are here for a status conference. Who wants to speak first? Ms. Clarke?

MS. CLARKE: Sure, Your Honor.

THE COURT: I just want to confirm before you begin,
Mr. Novara sent a message that Mr. Bowers had waived his
appearance today.

MS. CLARKE: That's correct.

THE COURT: So he has agreed not to be here for the administrative things that we're going to talk about today.

MS. CLARKE: Correct. That is correct, and for the record, Judy Clarke, Elisa Long and Michael Novara for Mr. Bowers.

THE COURT: Thank you.

MS. CLARKE: So I'm not sure exactly how much information the court wants to have regarding the status of proceedings.

I can tell you that we did submit, as requested by the Department of Justice and as noted in the pleading that the government filed, our mitigation submission on the 15th of April. We did meet with members of the U.S. Attorney's Office

on the 9th of May.

As the court knows, we have been focusing our time and efforts on the authorization decision, not litigation of the case. During that meeting, we reiterated our goal of settling this case by way of guilty pleas to life in prison. That issue is pending with the United States and the Department of Justice.

Discovery is ongoing. We have been advised that there will be more discovery coming in the coming days. I'm not sure what that is, but it will be another production. We do have some potential issues with discovery that we haven't raised with the court because of the status of the case right now, and we have some other issues that are percolating that we may, at some point in the near future, raise with the court, so that's the status from our vantage point.

THE COURT: Thank you. Who's going to speak for the government? Mr. Rivetti, is that you?

MR. RIVETTI: Your Honor, I'll begin, and Ms. Song will address some of the discovery issues. First of all, we are proceeding internally within the Department of Justice regarding the capital review process. That's an internal confidential process, so we won't be getting into that in court here today.

THE COURT: I did not expect that.

MR. RIVETTI: As well as Rule 11, we are not going to

get into plea negotiation type issues today.

THE COURT: Nothing to do with me.

MR. RIVETTI: Absolutely. The parties are continuing to communicate on all of these issues, including discovery issues, and Ms. Song can give the court a little more information on discovery.

THE COURT: Thank you.

MS. SONG: Yes, Your Honor. We produced a significant amount of discovery and a significant amount of material at the defense team's request that is actually outside of Rule 16. That is proceeding.

There are certain types of analyses and other evidence that will be produced, and we are in active discussions with the defense team regarding that.

As I said, to date, we have produced a significant amount of material, to include electronic evidence, and we anticipate that we'll continue to provide discovery and other materials that the defense requests.

THE COURT: Ms. Clarke said you have a significant soon to come amount of discovery.

MS. SONG: I think what she said is that there was additional discovery coming. I wouldn't want to characterize the quantum versus the rest of it. To this point, we have been discussing issues, and I'm sure if there comes a time when there are issues for the court, defense counsel or we

will raise them for your attention.

THE COURT: And we are all thinking that the August 15 date is still good for the pretrial motions or not?

MS. CLARKE: No, we are not. In fact, the parties were talking about whether or when we should set another status conference. Probably before that date to continue that Rule 12 motions date again. I don't know whether the court just wants to do that now.

THE COURT: Sure. I don't have a calendar.

THE CLERK: I do, judge.

MS. CLARKE: I think the parties are in agreement that what's called the pretrial motions and Rule 12 motions would be put off after whatever decision is made, you know. If there's no need for further litigation, then that's great. If there is, then we would certainly need time.

THE COURT: Sure, but I don't think anybody can really predict when that's going to happen.

MS. CLARKE: Correct. Certainly not this side of the courtroom.

THE COURT: Right. Let me look. So sometime before August 15. August 12 is a Monday. I could do it then. I could also do it August 14.

MS. CLARKE: I think we were just collaborating. I think those dates are bad. Maybe the last week of July would be safest, if that works for the government.

MS. SONG: Not necessarily. The week of the 12th is 1 2 not good for you all. Alternatively we can confer and propose 3 to the court. 4 MS. CLARKE: Sure. 5 THE COURT: Let me ask you this: Is there some day 6 in the week -- are you saying the week of July 29 is not good 7 for the government? 8 MR. RIVETTI: That's not good for the government, 9 Your Honor. THE COURT: And the week after that is not good for 10 11 you or it is? 12 MS. CLARKE: For somebody at this table. The 1st or 13 2nd of August seems to work. MR. RIVETTI: That week is bad for us, Your Honor. 14 THE COURT: How about the next week? How about the 15 week of the 5th of August? 16 17 MS. LONG: That doesn't work. 18 MR. NOVARA: How about the 22nd of July? 19 THE COURT: Is that too soon? 20 MS. CLARKE: I don't know if we're going to know a 21 whole lot more by then. MR. NOVARA: We can always move to continue it. 22 23 THE COURT: What did you say, Mr. Novara? MR. NOVARA: The week of the 22nd. 24 25 THE COURT: I think someone already said that was not

good.

MR. RIVETTI: July 22nd week works for the government.

MS. CLARKE: It doesn't work for me. I mean, I could do the Friday of that week, the 26.

THE COURT: No, I can't do that. So we are not good at all the week of July 29 and we are not good at all the week of August 5; is that right?

MR. RIVETTI: That's correct, Your Honor.

THE COURT: August 12 is no good either, right? Let me say this: Perhaps if you don't feel that you are going to know a whole lot by then, let's just assume that, and if something comes up, we can always change it, we can do a phone conference. Probably anybody can do that in any of those weeks, or is that out of the question too in the weeks that were not good?

MS. SONG: That would be fine.

MS. CLARKE: Michael is potentially out of the country.

MR. NOVARA: Just the week of the 12th or the 19th, it's not clear yet, of August.

THE COURT: The 19th would be beyond the 15th so we wouldn't do that anyway. What about August 5? Is there anybody who couldn't do a phone conference on August 5?

MS. CLARKE: That would work.

MR. RIVETTI: That works for us, Your Honor. 1 2 THE COURT: How about that then? How about 10:15 3 again? We'll do a phone conference, and if there's going to be an extension, then it can be filed by someone over here 4 5 before the 15th. 6 MR. NOVARA: Sure. 7 THE COURT: Because I'm thinking -- well, I'm 8 thinking you are probably right that we're not going to know a 9 whole lot more, but if there are issues, discovery issues, you know where I am, just bring them to me, and I'm sure, if there 10

Is there anything further from the government?

are going to be pretrial motions, there will be plenty, but if

MR. RIVETTI: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Ms. Clarke?

there aren't, there won't be.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. CLARKE: No. Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you all very much. We'll talk on August 5. I'm just handing a letter from Frederick Banks that I received that he requested that I give to both the government and the defense and I'm doing it just because I want everything to be completely open. Okay. Thank you all.

(At 10:22 a.m., the proceedings were adjourned.)

 $C \ E \ R \ T \ I \ F \ I \ C \ A \ T \ E$ I, BARBARA METZ LEO, RMR, CRR, certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from the record of proceedings in the above-entitled case. \text{\s\ Barbara Metz Leo} \text{09/26/2019} \\
\text{BARBARA METZ LEO, RMR, CRR} \text{Date of Certification} Official Court Reporter